CIS 700: Interactive Fiction and Text Generation

Search and Planning

AIMA Chapters 3 and 7





Problem-Solving Agents

A problem-solving agent must **plan**.

The computational process that it undertakes is called **search**.

It will consider a **sequence of actions** that form a **path** to a **goal state**.

Such a sequence is called a **solution**.

1.	take pole	13.go east	
2.	go out	14.hit guard with	branch
3.	go south	15.get key	
4.	catch fish with pole	16.go east	
5.	go north	17.get candle	_
6.	pick rose	18.go west	
7.	go north	19.go down	
8.	go up	20.light lamp	26
9.	get branch	21.go down	27
10.	go down	22.light candle	28
11.	go east	23. read runes	29
12.	give the troll	24.get crown	30
	the fish	25.go up	31



26.go up	32.
27.go up	33.
28.unlock door	34.
29.go up	35.
30.give rose to the princess	36.
31.propose to the princess	37.
SI. propose to the princess	37

32.	down
33.	down
34.	east
35.	east
36.	wear crown
37.	sit on throne

🐯 Penn Engineering

Review of Search Problems

AIMA 3.1-3.3



Formal Definition of a Search Problem

- 1. States: a set S
- 2. An **initial state** $s_i \in S$
- 3. Actions: a set A

∀ **s Actions(s)** = the set of actions that can be executed in **s**.

- 4. Transition Model: $\forall s \forall a \in Actions(s)$ Result(s, a) $\rightarrow s_r$
 - \mathbf{s}_{r} is called a successor of \mathbf{s}

```
{s<sub>i</sub>}U Successors(s<sub>i</sub>)* = state space
```

 Path cost (Performance Measure): Must be additive, e.g. sum of distances, number of actions executed, ...

c(x,a,y) is the step cost, assumed ≥ 0

- (where action **a** goes from state **x** to state **y**)
- 6. Goal test: Goal(s)

s is a goal state if **Goal(s)** is true. Can be implicit, e.g. **checkmate(s)**

States: A state of the world says which objects are in which cells.

In a simple two cell version,

- the agent can be in one cell at a time
- each cell can have dirt or not

2 positions for agent * 2^2 possibilities for dirt = 8 states.

With *n* cells, there are $n^{*}2^{n}$ states.

🐼 Penn Engineering



States: A state of the world says which objects are in which cells.

In a simple two cell version,

- the agent can be in one cell at a time
- each cell can have dirt or not

2 positions for agent * 2^2 possibilities for dirt = 8 states.

With *n* cells, there are $n^{*}2^{n}$ states.









States: A state of the world says which objects are in which cells.

In a simple two cell version,

- the agent can be in one cell at a time
- each cell can have dirt or not
- 2 positions for agent * 2^2 possibilities for dirt = 8 states.

With *n* cells, there are $n^{*}2^{n}$ states.

🐼 Penn Engineering

One state is designated as the **initial state**





















Move Right

Actions:

- Suck
- Move Left
- Move Right
- (Move Up)
- (Move Down)

Transition:

Suck – removes dirt

Move – moves in that direction, unless agent hits a wall, in which case it stays put.

Suck





🐯 Penn Engineering



🐯 Penn Engineering







Search Algorithms



Useful Concepts

State space: the set of all states reachable from the initial state by *any* sequence of actions

- When several operators can apply to each state, this gets large very quickly
- *Might be a proper subset of the set of configurations*

Path: a sequence of actions leading from one state s_i to another state s_k

Solution: a path from the initial state *s*_{*i*} to a state *s*_{*f*} that satisfies the goal test

Search tree: a way of representing the paths that a search algorithm has explored. The root is the initial state, leaves of the tree are successor states.

Frontier: those states that are available for *expanding* (for applying legal actions to)

Solutions and Optimal Solutions

A *solution* is a sequence of actions from the initial state to a goal state.

Optimal Solution: A solution is optimal if no solution has a lower path cost.



Basic search algorithms: *Tree Search*

Generalized algorithm to solve search problems

Enumerate in some order all possible paths from the initial state

- Here: search through *explicit tree generation*
 - ROOT= initial state.
 - Nodes in search tree generated through *transition model*
 - Tree search treats different paths to the same node as distinct

Generalized tree search



function TREE-SEARCH(*problem*, *strategy*) return a solution or failure The strategy determines Initialize frontier to the *initial state* of the *problem* do

if the frontier is empty then return *failure* choose leaf node for expansion according to strategy & remove from frontier if node contains goal state then return solution else expand the node and add resulting nodes to the frontier

search process!

😽 Penn Engineering

8-Puzzle Search Tree **Start State Max Branching Factor = 4 Action: Down Action: Right** Action: Up **Action: Move Blank Tie Left** Down Right Jp

8-Puzzle Search Tree



Graph Search vs Tree Search

function TREE-SEARCH(*problem*) returns a solution, or failure initialize the frontier using the initial state of *problem* loop do

if the frontier is empty then return failurechoose a leaf nose and remove it from the frontierif the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solutionexpand the chosen node, adding the resulting nodes to the frontier

function GRAPH-SEARCH(*problem*) returns a solution, or failure

initialize the frontier using the initial state of *problem*

initialize the explored set to be empty

loop do

if the frontier is empty **then return** failure

choose a leaf node and remove it from the frontier

if the node contains a goal state then return the corresponding solution

add node to the explored set

expand the chosen node, adding the resulting nodes to the frontier **only if not in the frontier of explored set**



Search Strategies

Several classic search algorithms differ only by the order of how they expand their search trees

You can implement them by using different queue data structures

Depth-first search = LIFO queue
Breadth-first search = FIFO queue
Greedy best-first search or A* search = Priority queue



Search Algorithms

Dimensions for evaluation

- **Completeness** always find the solution?
- **Optimality** finds a least cost solution (lowest path cost) first?
- Time complexity # of nodes generated (worst case)
- **Space complexity # of nodes simultaneously in memory** (worst case)

Time/space complexity variables

- *b, maximum branching factor* of search tree
- *d, depth* of the shallowest goal node
- *m*, *maximum length* of any path in the state space (potentially ∞)

Properties of breadth-first search

Complete? Optimal? Time Complexity? Space Complexity? Yes (if *b* is finite) Yes, if cost = 1 per step (not optimal in general) $1+b+b^2+b^3+...+b^d = O(b^d)$ $O(b^d)$ (keeps every node in memory)

Time/space complexity variables

- *b, maximum branching factor* of search tree
- *d, depth* of the shallowest goal node
- *m*, *maximum length* of any path in the state space (potentially ∞)

BFS versus DFS

Breadth-first

- ☑ Complete,
- ☑ Optimal
- ☑ *but* uses *O*(*b^d*) space

Depth-first

- ☑ Not complete *unless m is bounded*
- 🗵 Not optimal
- ☑ Uses *O(b^m)* time; terrible if m >> d
- **☑** *but* only uses O(**b*m) space**

Time/space complexity variables *b, maximum branching factor* of search tree *d, depth* of the shallowest goal node *m, maximum length* of any path in the state
space (potentially ∞)

Exponential Space (and time) Is Not Good...

- Exponential complexity uninformed search problems *cannot* be solved for any but the smallest instances.
- *(Memory* requirements are a bigger problem than *execution* time.)

DEPTH	NODES	TIME	MEMORY
2	110	0.11 milliseconds	107 kilobytes
4	11110	11 milliseconds	10.6 megabytes
6	10 ⁶	1.1 seconds	1 gigabytes
8	10⁸	2 minutes	103 gigabytes
10	10 ¹⁰	3 hours	10 terabytes
12	10 ¹²	13 days	1 petabytes
14	1014	3.5 years	99 petabytles

Assumes b=10, 1M nodes/sec, 1000 bytes/node

Action Castle



Art: Formulating a Search Problem

Decide:

Which properties matter & how to represent

• Initial State, Goal State, Possible Intermediate States

Which actions are possible & how to represent

• Operator Set: Actions and Transition Model

Which action is next

• Path Cost Function

Formulation greatly affects combinatorics of search space and therefore speed of search



Action Castle Map Navigation

Let's consider the sub-task of navigating from one location to another.

Formulate the *search problem*

- States: locations in the game
- Actions: move between connected locations
- Goal: move to a particular location like the Throne Room
- Performance measure: minimize number of moves to arrive at the goal

Find a *solution*

• Algorithm that returns sequence of actions to get from the start sate to the goal. $\int_{I_m}^{I_m} \int_{Out}^{Out}$

Cottage



def BFS(game, goal_conditions): The frontier tracks order of unexpanded command_sequence = [] if goal_test(game, goal_conditions): return of search nodes. Here we're using a FIFO queue frontier = queue.Queue() The visited dictionary frontier.put((game, command_sequence)) **TODO: implement** prevents us from get_state() visited = dict() revising states. visited[get_state(game)] = True while not frontier.empty(): get_available_actions() to return all (current_game, command_sequence) = frontier.get() commands that could be used here. current_state = get_state(current_game) parser = Parser(current_game) available_actions = get_available_actions(current_game) **TODO:** implement get_available_actions() for command in available_actions: # Clone the current game with its state new_game = copy.deepcopy(current_game) # Apply the command to it to get the resulting state The parser can execute this command parser = Parser(new_game) to get the resulting state. parser.parse_command(command) new_state = get_state(new_game) # Update the sequence of actions that we took to get to Check to see if this state satisfies the new_command_sequence = copy.copy(command_sequence) new_command_sequence.append(command) goal test, if so, return the command if not new_state in visited: sequence that got us here. visited[new state] = True if goal_test(new_game, goal_conditions): frontier.put((new_game, new_command_sequence)) **TODO:** implement goal_test() # Return None to indicate there is no solution.

return None



Action Castle

Let's consider the full game.

Actions

Start State

Transitions

State Space

Goal test



Actions

Go

Move to a location

Get

Add an item to inventory

Special

Perform a special action with an item like "Catch fish with pole"

Drop

Leave an item in current location



State Info

- Location of Player Items in their inventory
- Location of all items / NPCs
- **Blocks like**
- Troll guarding bridge,
- Locked door to tower,
- Guard barring entry to castle



My Solution

game = build_game()
solution = BFS(game, goal_conditions)
print("SOLUTION:", solution)



🐯 Penn Engineering

Found solution at depth 36. Expanded 4138 nodes. Trimmed 18632 nodes. There are 83 nodes on the frontier. ▶ solution

['get pole', E≯ 'go out', 'go south', 'catch fish with pole', 'go north', 'pick rose', 'go north', 'go up', 'get branch', 'go down', 'go east', 'give the troll the fish', 'go east', 'hit guard with branch', 'go east', 'get candle', 'go west', 'go down', 'light lamp', 'go down', 'light candle', 'read runes', 'get crown', 'go up', 'go up', 'get key', 'qo up', 'unlock door', 'go up', 'give rose to princess', 'propose to the princess', 'wear crown', 'go down', 'go down', 'go east', 'go east']

Classical Planning

AIMA Chapter 11



🐼 Penn Engineering

Classical Planning

The task of finding a sequence of action to accomplish a goal in a deterministic, fullyobservable, discrete, static environment.

If an environment is:

- Deterministic
- Fully observable

The solution to any problem in such an environment is a fixed sequence of actions.

In environments that are

- Nondeterministic or
- Partially observable

The solution must recommend different future actions depending on the what percepts it receives. This could be in the form of a *branching strategy*.





Representation Language Planning Domain Definition Language (PDDL) express actions as a schema (*define* (*domain* action-castle) (:requirements :strips :typing) (:types player location direction item) Action name (:action go Variables :parameters (?dir - direction ?p - player ?l1 - location ?l2 - location) :precondition (and (at ?p ?l1) (connected ?l1 ?dir ?l2) (not (guarded ?l2))) :effect (and (at ?p ?l2) (not (at ?p ?l1))) Preconditions Effects (:action get :parameters (?item - item ?p - player ?l1 - location) :precondition (and (at ?p ?l1) (at ?item ?l1)) :effect (and (inventory ?p ?item) (not (at ?item ?l1))) *ction* drop These logical sentences Preconditions and :parameters (?item – item ?p – player ?l1 – lo are literals – positive or effects are :precondition (and (at ?p ?l1) (inventory ?p ?ite :effect (and (at ?item ?l1) (not (inventory ?p ?: negated atomic conjunctions of sentences logical sentences

🐯 Penn Engineering

State Representation

In PDDL, a **state** is represented as a **conjunction** of logical sentences that are **ground atomic fluents**. PDDL uses **database semantics**.

Ground means they contain no variables Atomic sentences contain just a single predicate Fluent means an aspect of the world that can change over time. Closed world assumption. Any fluent not mentioned is false. Unique names are distinct.

Action Schema has variables

State Representation arguments are constants fluents may change over time

(:init

(connected cottage out gardenpath)
(connected gardenpath in cottage)
(connected gardenpath south fishingpond)
(connected fishingpond north gardenpath)
(at npc cottage)



Successor States

A **ground action** is **applicable** if if every positive literal in the precondition is true, and every negative literal in the precondition is false

Ground Action no variables

Initial State (:init

(connected cottage out gardenpath)
(connected gardenpath in cottage)
(connected gardenpath south fishingpond)
(connected fishingpond north gardenpath)
(at npc cottage)

Negative literals in the effects are kept in a **delete list**, and positive literals are kept in an **add list**

Result New state reflecting the effect of applying the ground action

(connected cottage out gardenpath)
(connected gardenpath in cottage)
(connected gardenpath south fishingpond)
(connected fishingpond north gardenpath)
(at npc gardenpath)

🐯 Penn Engineering

Domains and Problems



Problem



😽 Penn Engineering

Algorithms for Classical Planning

We can apply **BFS** to the **initial state** through possible states looking for a **goal**.

An advantage of the **declarative representation** of action schemas is that we can also **search backwards**.

Start with a goal and work backwards towards the initial state.

In our Action Castle example, this would help us with the branching problem that the **drop** action introduced. If we work backwards from the goal, then we realize that we don't ever need to drop an item for the correct solution.